EXHIBIT 1

Cas	e 5:20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC Document 26 ID #:18		Filed 12/23/24	Page 2 of 24	Page
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ID #:18 SAMIR DEGER-SEN* samir.deger-sen@lw.com LEAH WISSER* leah.wisser@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 555 Eleventh Street, NW Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20004-1304 Tel: 202.637.2200 Fax: 202.637.2200 Fax: 202.637.2201 ELYSE M. GREENWALD (SBN 268050) elyse.greenwald@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 10250 Constellation Blvd, Suite 1100 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: 424.653.5500 Fax: 424.653.5501 Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Petitioners *Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> UNITED STAT CENTRAL DIST KELVIN HERNANDEZ ROMAN, BEATRIZ ANDREA FORERO	EV EV Ebi AC Ca 13 Lo Te AM am LA 35. Lo Te Fai	A BITRAN (SB itran@aclusocal. CLU Foundation lifornia 13 West 8th Stre os Angeles, CA 9 lephone: (213) 9 MANDA BARNI anda.barnett@lv ATHAM & WAT 5 South Grand A os Angeles, CA 9 l: 213.485.1234 x: 213.891.8763	N 302081) org of Southern et 0017 77-9500 ETT (SBN 319 v.com KINS LLP venue, Suite 1 0071-1560 RT NIA v-00768-TJH-1	9046) 00 PVC
17 18 19	CHAVEZ, MIGUEL AGUILAR ESTRADA, on behalf of themselves ar all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs-Petitioners, v.	nd	AND RELEAS		1
17 18	 ESTRADA, on behalf of themselves ar all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs-Petitioners, v. ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS, Secretar U.S. Department of Homeland Security PATRICK J. LECHLEITNER, Deputy Director and Senior Official Performin 	y,)			1
17 18 19 20 21	 ESTRADA, on behalf of themselves ar all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs-Petitioners, v. ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS, Secretar U.S. Department of Homeland Security PATRICK J. LECHLEITNER, Deputy Director and Senior Official Performin the Duties of the Director for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcemen ERNESTO SANTACRUZ, JR., Acting Field Office Director, Los Angeles, 	y,) g) t;) g)			1
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 ESTRADA, on behalf of themselves ar all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs-Petitioners, v. ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS, Secretar U.S. Department of Homeland Security PATRICK J. LECHLEITNER, Deputy Director and Senior Official Performin the Duties of the Director for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcemen ERNESTO SANTACRUZ, JR., Acting Field Office Director, Los Angeles, Enforcement and Removal Operations, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and FERETI SEMAIA, Facility Administrator, Adelanto ICE 	y,) g) t;) g)			
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 ESTRADA, on behalf of themselves ar all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs-Petitioners, v. ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS, Secretar U.S. Department of Homeland Security PATRICK J. LECHLEITNER, Deputy Director and Senior Official Performin the Duties of the Director for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcemen ERNESTO SANTACRUZ, JR., Acting Field Office Director, Los Angeles, Enforcement and Removal Operations, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and FERETI SEMAIA, 	y,) g) t;) g)			

CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

Plaintiffs in the above-captioned matter, Kelvin Hernandez Roman, Beatriz Andrea Forero Chavez, and Miguel Aguilar Estrada, on behalf of themselves and all Class Members of the certified class they represent (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants Alejandro Mayorkas, Secretary, U.S. Department of Homeland Security; Patrick J. Lechleitner, Deputy Director and Senior Official Performing the Duties of the Director for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement; Ernesto Santacruz, Jr., Acting Field Office Director, Los Angeles, Enforcement and Removal Operations, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and Fereti Semaia, Facility Administrator, Adelanto ICE Processing Center (collectively, "Defendants" and with Plaintiffs "the Parties") through their counsel, enter into this Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release ("Agreement"), as of the date it is executed by all Parties (the "Agreement Date") and effective upon approval of the Court pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e).

WHEREAS:

On April 13, 2020, Plaintiffs filed in the District Court for the Central District of California a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Class Action Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, against Defendants.

On April 23, 2020, the District Court granted provisional class certification and entered a preliminary injunction ordering Defendants to reduce the population of the Adelanto ICE Processing Center ("Adelanto") and take other measures to protect Class Members against the risk of contracting COVID-19. Defendants appealed both orders.

On May 5, 2020, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals granted in part and denied in part Defendants' motion to stay the District Court's preliminary injunction pending appeal.

On June 17, 2020, the District Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for class-wide bail and established a process to make individualized bail determinations for Class Members. Defendants appealed.

On September 22, 2020, the District Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for non-provisional class certification.

On September 23, 2020, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals "affirm[ed] the portions of the preliminary injunction order concluding that the district court possesses the power to grant injunctive relief and that Plaintiffs are likely to prevail on the merits of their due process claims." In light of conditions at Adelanto "evolving rapidly," including a developing COVID-19 outbreak, the Ninth Circuit

"vacate[d] the provisions of the preliminary injunction that ordered specific measures to be implemented at Adelanto," and remanded for the District Court to "assess what relief current conditions may warrant."

On September 29, 2020, the District Court issued a Modified Preliminary Injunction and Additional Findings of Fact ordering Defendants to take certain measures to protect Class Members against the risk of contracting COVID-19.

On October 13, 2020, the Ninth Circuit dismissed Defendants' appeal of the class-wide bail orders for lack of jurisdiction.

On October 15, 2020, the District Court issued a Population Reduction Order, ordering Defendants to reduce the population of Adelanto to at or below 475 people.

On October 20, 2020, the District Court appointed a Special Master to, *inter alia*, "[m]onitor and enforce the Government's compliance with the Court's Modified Preliminary Injunction, the Adelanto Population Reduction Order."

Defendants subsequently appealed the Modified Preliminary Injunction and the Population Reduction Order.

On March 19, 2021, Plaintiffs informed the District Court that Class Member Martin Vargas had passed away after contracting COVID-19 at Adelanto. The District Court directed the Special Master to investigate Mr. Vargas's death and issue a Report and Recommendation to the Court. On August 11, 2021, the District Court adopted the Special Master's Report and Recommendations, ordering Defendants to comply with certain reporting requirements and to pay certain legal fees of Mr. Vargas's immigration lawyer. Defendants appealed. In addition to this Agreement, the Parties have agreed to a written settlement agreement and release addressing matters related to that Report and Recommendation and the Court's adoption of that Report and Recommendation ("Vargas Settlement Agreement").

On June 3, 2021, following a Settlement Assessment conference, the Ninth Circuit Mediator's Office entered an order consolidating all pending appeals in this Action and vacating the briefing schedules to permit the Parties to discuss the possibility of settlement.

The Parties have since conducted discussions and arms-length negotiations with a view toward settling all matters in dispute. In light of the expense and inconvenience of additional, potentially protracted litigation, and in consideration of the representations, promises, and agreements set forth herein, the Parties have agreed to the settlement and dismissal of the Action with prejudice. Counsel for Plaintiffs have concluded that the terms and conditions of this Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate. NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the Parties, through their respective attorneys, subject to the approval of the Court pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e), in consideration of the benefits flowing to the Parties from this Agreement, that this Agreement shall constitute a full, fair, and complete settlement of the Action, upon and subject to the following terms and conditions.

I. **DEFINITIONS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Wherever used in this Agreement, the following terms have the meanings set forth below:

- A. "Action" means the civil action captioned Hernandez Roman v. Mayorkas, United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC.
- B. "Adelanto Staff" includes employees, contractors, sub-contractors, staff, and consultants who work at Adelanto, including but not limited to employees, contractors, sub-contractors, staff, and consultants of ICE and GEO.
 - C. "Agreement Date" means the date this Agreement is executed by all Parties.
- D. "Class Member(s)" means all individuals who:
 - i. Are currently detained in civil immigration detention at the Adelanto Immigration and Customs Enforcement Processing Center;
 - ii. Were detained in civil immigration detention at the Adelanto Immigration and Customs Enforcement Processing Center at any time between March 23, 2020, and May 11, 2023 but have been transferred by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement to another immigration detention facility, regardless of whether the other detention facility is within the Central District of California; or

iii. Were detained in civil immigration detention at the Adelanto Immigration and Customs Enforcement Processing Center at any time between March 23, 2020, and May 11, 2023 but have been released pursuant to a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, or other temporary release order issued by this Court, including a temporary release order issued in a separately and

		VC Document 2636-2 ID #:182279	Filed 12/23/24	Page 6 of 24	Page
1 2	1	iously filed individual hau ant to the Court's April			
2 3 4	1	t" means the Petition for mplaint for Injunctive an 3, 2020.		-	
5 6 7 8	Homeland Customs E Office, Ent Customs E	ts" means Alejandro Ma Security; Tae Johnson, I nforcement; David Mari forcement and Removal nforcement; and James J Center; and their predec	Director, U.S. Imp n, Director of the Operations, U.S. Janecka, Warden,	migration and Los Angeles Immigration a Adelanto ICE	Field nd
9 10	G. "District C of Californ	ourt" is the United State	s District Court fo	or the Central	District
11 12		Date" means the date up as set forth in Section VI	-	greement shall	become
13 14 15 16	determine (ii) determine requirement applicable	proval Hearing" means a the fairness, adequacy, a ine that the Agreement a nts of Federal Rule of Ci- law; (iii) resolve any obj inal Approval Order and	nd reasonablenes nd associated Set vil Procedure 23, jections to the Set	s of the Agree ttlement satisfy and all other	v all
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Exhibit 3, g Agreement class; findi represented in accordar retaining ju	proval Order" means a Co granting final approval o t to be fair, reasonable, a ng that class representate d the class; ordering that nee with its terms and pr urisdiction over the inter- nt of this Agreement.	f this Agreement dequate, and in the ives and class couthe Parties imple ovisions; entering	; holding this ne best interest unsel have ade ment this Agre g final judgmen	s of the quately eement
23 24	final appro	or Final Approval" mean wal of the Agreement.			
25 26 27 28	pursuant to M. "Plaintiffs' Chavez, an	ate" means the date that no Section V.C. ' means Kelvin Hernand ad Miguel Aguilar Estract ass they represent.	ez Roman, Beatri	iz Andrea Fore	ero

1 2 3		N. "Plaintiffs' counsel" or "Class Counsel" refers to the following attorneys: Eva Bitran, American Civil Liberties Union ("ACLU") of Southern California and Samir Deger-Sen, Elyse Greenwald, Amanda Barnett, and Leah Wisser, Latham & Watkins, LLP.
4		O. "Preliminary Approval Order" means a Court order, substantially the same as Exhibit 1, granting preliminary approval of the Settlement Class
5		and Subclasses; finding the pre-requisites of Rule 23 are met; finding
6 7		cause to believe this Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, is within the range of possible approval, and has been negotiated in good faith at
8		arm's length; finding that Notice is warranted; approving the Class Notice, substantially in the form of Exhibit 2; and setting a hearing to
9		consider Final Approval of the settlement and any objections thereto.
10		P. "Settled Claims" means all claims, demands, rights, liabilities and causes of action for declaratory or equitable relief, including injunctive relief,
11		known or unknown, that relate to risks associated with COVID-19 inside
12		Adelanto that existed prior to the Agreement Date, and which were or could have been alleged in the Action based on the same common nucleus
13		of operative facts alleged.
14	II.	MITIGATION OF COVID-19 RISK AT ADELANTO
15		A. Intake Ban
16		i. Upon execution of this Agreement as discussed in Section V.A., the
17		parties shall jointly submit an ex parte application requesting that the Court temporarily lift the intake ban imposed by the Modified
18		Preliminary Injunction, ECF No. 596, and Amended Population Reduction Order, ECF No. 914, pending the fairness hearing, and
19 20		that the Court permanently lift the ban upon the Effective Date.
20 21		B. Population Cap
22		i. The population cap of 475 imposed by the Amended Population
23		Reduction Order, ECF No. 914, will be lifted upon the Effective Date.
24		ii. Defendants will use best efforts to ensure that Adelanto follows
25		applicable CDC guidance and ICE requirements for COVID-19. If,
26		over a period of five business days, there is a preponderance of specific evidence that one or more Adelanto Staff Member(s)
27		willfully fails to follow such applicable guidance and the terms of
28		this Agreement, and the facility has not reasonably addressed such failure, ICE will consider, but not be required to implement, a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

temporary pause of intakes to Adelanto.

C. Vaccinations

i.	Subject to availability, and so long as consistent with CDC guidance
	and the operative ICE guidance, and no more than what is specified
	in this Agreement, Defendants will offer COVID-19 vaccines:

- 1. Within fourteen days of intake to all new Class Members, detained at Adelanto, who have not yet received the vaccine, unless medically contraindicated;
- 2. On a weekly basis to any Class Member, detained at Adelanto, who initially declined the vaccine, unless medically contraindicated.

3. Defendants will:

- a. Provide comprehensive information about vaccines to all Class Members, detained at Adelanto, who are not fully vaccinated, including new intakes. Written information shall be provided in a language the Class Member understands, except in cases of a language not commonly used by other detainees at the facility, where such information may be provided by way of an interpreter;
- b. Provide a clear process to request vaccines that is conveyed to Class Members, detained at Adelanto, during weekly announcements;
- c. Provide timely access to additional doses for Class Members, detained at Adelanto, as needed, so long as the Class Member remains in custody at Adelanto, and with the understanding that ICE will not maintain custody solely to facilitate vaccination;
- d. When feasible, implement at Adelanto any new CDC recommendations regarding vaccines, subject to availability.
- e. Ensure that members of the Medical staff shall provide regular, in-person, presentations on the vaccines to Class Members, detained at Adelanto, including new

Cas	e 5:20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC	Document 2636-2 ID #:182282	Filed 12/23/24	Page 9 of 24	Page
1		intakes. Presenta Spanish at least	twice per month.	Class Membe	rs who
2		speak neither En same presentatio			
3	D. New Intakes	Sume presentatio	n og wag of an i		eeueu.
4		ts shall, so long as c	ongistant with C	DC guidance	and the
5	operative	ICE guidance, and	no more than is s	specified in this	S
6 7	-	nt, and subject to log or lack of available			to lack
8		tion, and other issue			
9		e best efforts to ensu			
10		er ICE facilities are th the operative ICE		VID-19 in acc	ordance
11		ke best efforts to as	-	ID-19 vaccinat	tion
12	sta	tus of new intakes fr	om individuals a	apprehended at	ports of
13		ry or near a land bon any new intakes.	rder or in the cor	nmunity and o	fter tests
14	3. If a	n individual tests po	ositive for COVI	D-19 followin	g arrival
15		and intake at Adelanto, ICE will request that Adelanto nstitute standard isolation procedures, according to applicable			
16	IC	E guidance. Individ	uals known to be	e COVID-19 p	ositive
17		l who are symptoma h individuals who h	-		
18		ults.	ave tested negati		
19		ke best efforts to en			
20		ve had close contact VID-19, but who ha			
21	off	ered tests and, if app	olicable, isolated	• •	
22		erative ICE and CDO	-		
23 24		kes best efforts to ex e a fever and are ex			
25	arr	ival and/or test posit	ive. Class Memb	pers who are re	turning
26		Adelanto after a med l are fully vaccinate			-
27	this	s requirement.			
28	E. Testing, Antivi	rals, and Isolation			

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

i. Defendants shall:

1. If required by applicable ICE and CDC guidance, request that the facility conduct screening testing of all Adelanto staff and detained Class Members whenever there are three or more positive COVID-19 tests in one week among any combination of Adelanto staff and/or Class Members. Screening testing under this provision shall not be required if positive COVID-19 tests are limited to members of a single new intake group or to Adelanto Staff who have no access to detained Class Members.

- 2. Use best efforts to ensure that Adelanto is offering COVID-19 testing as consistent with CDC guidance and no more than is specified in this Agreement to any Class Member (i) who exhibits signs or symptoms consistent with COVID-19 or (ii) who has been in close contact with a COVID-19 positive individual.
- 3. Use best efforts to ensure that Adelanto is providing Class Members with written copies of their COVID-19 test results within 48 hours of receipt.
- 4. Use best efforts to confirm that the facility is, so long as consistent with the most current CDC and clinical guidance and no more than is specified in this Agreement, timely offering detainees diagnosed with COVID-19 anti-viral medication to treat COVID-19, subject to the detainee meeting clinical criteria for, and the absence of any contra-indications for, such treatment.
- 5. Use best efforts to ensure that Adelanto is prohibiting staff who have tested positive from entering Adelanto until the sooner of (a) the staff member completes an isolation period recommended by applicable CDC and ICE guidance or (b) the staff member meets CDC requirements for no longer being infectious with COVID-19;
- 6. Use best efforts to ensure that Adelanto is prohibiting staff who have active symptoms of COVID-19 from entering Adelanto.
- F. Social Distancing
 - i.Defendants shall comply with all applicable CDC and ICE guidance

	regarding physical distancing in detention facilities when applicable.			
G. Ma	sks			
i	i. Defendants shall comply with CDC guidance and the operative ICE guidance regarding mask use and availability for detainees and Adelanto staff.			
H. Cle	aning and Hygiene			
j	i. Defendants shall:			
	1. Provide all Class Members, detained at Adelanto, with free, reasonable access to cleaning supplies, including but not limited to soap, non-alcohol-based hand sanitizer, if available, and paper towels.			
	2. Ensure that each Class Member, detained at Adelanto, is provided with an individual supply of soap and paper towels that they may bring with them to their cells/sleeping area on at least a weekly basis.			
	3. Use best efforts to ensure that Adelanto refrains from using HDQ Neutral in all housing units and other indoor spaces at Adelanto that are occupied or used by detainees.			
	 Use best efforts to ensure that any cell or sleeping area occupied by a confirmed or suspected COVID-19 positive individual prior to housing other Class Members in the cell or sleeping area is thoroughly cleaned and disinfected. 			
	5. Use best efforts to ensure that any cell or sleeping area used for intake quarantine prior to housing other Class Members in the cell or sleeping area is thoroughly cleaned and disinfected.			
III. TERM MEMI	IS OF RE-DETENTION FOR NON-DETAINED CLASS BERS			
subj not purs Ten prev	ring the term of the Agreement (as set forth in Section VII below), and ject to Subsections III.B, III.C, and III.D, ICE will make best efforts to re-detain under the immigration laws any Class Member released suant to the Population Reduction Order, a bail order in this case, or a nporary Restraining Order issued in this case or in a separately and viously filed individual habeas case subsequently stayed pursuant to the art's April 23, 2020 order, ECF No. 52 (without regard to the			

termination of such bail orders or TROs pursuant to the terms of this Agreement), unless: (1) ICE, prior to, during, or after re-apprehension of such Class Member, is or becomes aware of pre- or post-release conduct indicating that the Class Member is a threat to national security and/or public safety such that ICE determines that continued detention is warranted; (2) one or more of the conditions in Subsection III.B is met; or (3) to execute an administratively final order of removal. This section shall not apply to Class Members ordered re-detained by the district court or Class Members who have departed or been removed from the United States since their release from Adelanto and have subsequently re-entered the United States.

i. Threats to public safety or national security include, but are not limited to: terrorism-related activities; violations of export laws relating to infrastructure, intellectual property, or weaponry; intelligence or counterintelligence; homicide, including attempt; rape or sexual assault, including attempt; crimes against children, including offenses involving molestation, abuse, abandonment, neglect, or harm (physical or emotional); felony domestic violence offenses, including violations of an underlying protective order; serious drug offenses, such as trafficking in or sale of significant amounts; and unlawful use of a weapon in an area where it could reasonably be anticipated to cause harm to others.

ii. A determination of whether a Class Member poses a current threat to public safety based solely upon criminal convictions and/or ongoing criminal proceedings preceding the Class Member's release pursuant to the Population Reduction Order, a bail order in this case, or a Temporary Restraining Order issued in this case or in a separately and previously filed individual habeas case subsequently stayed pursuant to the Court's April 23, 2020 order, may include consideration of factors such as the egregiousness of the underlying conviction and/or ongoing criminal proceedings; whether the conduct included violence or the use or threatened use of a firearm: the sentence served or – in the case of Class Members in ongoing criminal proceedings - potential sentence; the recency of the criminal activity; the seriousness of any prior criminal record; as well as mitigating factors, including length of presence in the United States; personal and family circumstances; health and medical factors (including mental health factors); evidence of rehabilitation, compliance with conditions of release; and availability of alternatives to detention to mitigate the risk to public safety and/or national security. Crimes that may fall within this category include,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

but are not limited to: homicide, including attempt; rape or sexual assault, including attempt; crimes against children, including offenses involving molestation, abuse, abandonment, neglect, or harm (physical or emotional); felony domestic violence offenses, including violations of an underlying protective order; and unlawful use of a weapon in an area where it could reasonably be anticipated to cause harm to others. In such limited cases, ICE will conduct an individualized review of the Class Member's record and may redetain the Class Member only after approval at a senior headquarters level.

B. During the term of the Agreement (as set forth in Section VII below), ICE will re-detain under the immigration laws a Class Member released pursuant to the Population Reduction Order, a bail order in this case, or a Temporary Restraining Order issued in this case or in a separately and previously filed individual habeas case subsequently stayed pursuant to the Court's April 23, 2020 order, ECF No. 52, if one or more of the following conditions exists:

i. The Class Member has violated any material condition of release in a manner indicating that the Class Member presents a danger to persons or property, or poses a risk of flight as determined by ICE/ERO. Risk of flight will be determined based on the individual factors in a Class Member's case. A material condition of release includes but is not limited to those conditions prohibiting criminal activity, reporting requirements, and restrictions on movement.

- 1. Reporting within one business day of a scheduled reporting date will not be considered a violation of a material condition of release.
- 2. The Class Member may contact ICE at the number provided on his or her release requirement documentation to inform ICE that the Class Member will be unable to report due to an emergency.

3. If the Class Member is unable to get through or is otherwise concerned about reaching the appropriate number, the Class Member may contact ICE using the following email address: LosAngeles.Outreach@ice.dhs.gov. The subject line email should note that the individual is a Roman Class Member, provide information as to when and where the check in was to take place, state the reason- describing the emergency that will prevent the Class Member from making the check in and

	Case 5:20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC Document 2636-2 Filed 12/23/24 Page 14 of 24 Page ID #:182287
1	what efforts were made to contact the local office, and provide contact information for the Class Member. In the
2	case that the Class Member has moved out of the Los Angeles
3	Area of Responsibility, he or she must also contact the ERO office covering the area they now reside;
4	
5	ii. The Class Member fails or has failed to appear for an immigration court hearing and was ordered removed by an immigration judge.
6	1. If the immigration judge later grants a motion to reopen the <i>in</i>
7	<i>absentia</i> removal order, ERO will pay particular attention to the circumstances surrounding the in absentia order when
8	considering whether to re-detain the Class Member.
9	iii. Following release, the Class Member has been arrested by local,
10	state, or federal authorities for new criminal conduct if, based on that
11	conduct, the Class Member is an enforcement priority under the Guidelines for the Enforcement of Civil Immigration Law, issued by
12	Secretary Mayorkas on September 30, 2021, as determined by
13	ICE/ERO. If the Secretary issues new enforcement guidelines during the term of this Settlement Agreement, the parties will meet and
14	confer.
15	iv. A local, state, or federal authority finds that the Class Member has
16	failed to comply with the terms of probation or parole.
17	C. Re-detention of Class Members permitted under Section III may continue at ICE's discretion, as permitted by law, and subject to the provisions of
18	Section IX.
19	D. To the extent that a Class Member re-detained by ICE has ongoing
20	criminal proceedings, ICE will facilitate the Class Member's access to
21	criminal counsel, if applicable, and attendance at any criminal proceedings, but ICE will not delay removal efforts to accommodate
22	ongoing criminal proceedings.
23	E. A Class Member released pursuant to a bail order in this case, or a
24	Temporary Restraining Order issued in this case or in a separately and previously filed individual habeas case subsequently stayed pursuant to
25	the Court's April 23, 2020 order, ECF No. 52, must continue to comply
26	with any conditions of release set forth by the District Court, except that
27	Class Members are not required to live at the residence approved by the Court, provided that they obtain approval from ICE Enforcement and
28	Removal Operations for any proposed mailing or physical address change in advance of such change.
	12

c	Case 5:	20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC Document 2636-2 Filed 12/23/24 Page 15 of 24 Page ID #:182288
1 2		F. ICE will use best efforts to provide notice to class counsel of a Class Members' re-detention as soon as possible after re-detention. ICE will provide notice not later than three business days after re-detention.
3	IV.	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
4		A. Defendants shall:
5 6		i. Report to Plaintiffs within 24 hours of learning of the hospitalization or death of any Class Member for COVID-19 related reasons. COVID-related means that at least one of the reasons the Class
7		Member is hospitalized or has died is due to COVID-19 or
8		complications stemming from COVID-19. This requirement applies for class members who are transferred to a hospital and/or released
9		from custody at Adelanto while COVID-19 positive. Plaintiffs
10 11		acknowledge that DHS has no entitlement to or ability to obtain information regarding the medical status or cause of death of any
11		individual who has been released from DHS custody and that DHS would only become aware of medical information or death of a
12		released class member if such information is voluntarily shared with
14		DHS by the class member; medical personnel, family members, or an attorney authorized to release information about the class
15		member's condition; or, in case of the death, the executor of the class member's estate or similarly authorized party.
16		ii. Report to Plaintiffs on a weekly basis the following information:
17		
18 19		 Results of COVID-19 testing of Class Members detained at Adelanto, and staff or confirmation that facility conditions did not require any tests that week;
20		2. Vaccination rates of Class Members detained at Adelanto;
21		3. Class Member population per housing unit at Adelanto;
22		4. The number of new intakes at Adelanto;
23		5. The number of releases from Adelanto.
24		B. All remaining reporting obligations pursuant to court order shall cease,
25		including the census sheet, bail sheet, and daily report.
26		C. The district court bail process shall be vacated.
27	V.	TERMS OF ORDER FOR NOTICE, HEARING, AND FINAL JUDGMENT
28		
		13

	Case 5:20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC Document 2636-2 Filed 12/23/24 Page 16 of 24 Page ID #:182289
1 2 3 4	 A. This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original and all of which together shall be deemed to be one and the same agreement. A facsimile or other duplicate of a signature shall have the same effect as a manually executed original. The Agreement shall be deemed executed on the date the Agreement is signed by all of the undersigned.
5 6 7 8 9	 B. Within one week of the Effective Date, the parties shall file a joint stipulated notice of voluntary dismissal, with prejudice, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). The parties' joint stipulation will also jointly move for termination of the bail orders issued in this case, individual TROs issued in this case, and TROs issued in separately and previously filed individual habeas cases subsequently stayed pursuant to the Court's April 23, 2020 order.
10 11 12 13 14	C. Defendants shall produce a list of all Class Members to Class Counsel within one week of the Agreement Date. Additional Class Members shall have the opportunity to self-identify between the Agreement date and the Effective date. The parties will meet and confer regarding any self- identified class members to discuss whether they should be added to the class. Any disagreements regarding class membership will be handled via the dispute resolution process in section X.
15 16 17 18 19 20	 D. Following the Agreement Date, Plaintiffs shall file forthwith a Motion seeking Preliminary Approval of the Agreement and entry of a Preliminary Approval Order, attached as Exhibit 1. The Motion shall seek approval of a Notice to the Settlement Class substantially in the form appended hereto as Exhibit 2, as well as a finding that the following satisfies the publication requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23:
20 21 22 23	 i. Notice to Class Members shall be translated into Spanish, Russian, and Mandarin, or into the detainee's native language (including orally) insofar as materials in the native language are available; ii. Within ten (10) business days of the date of Court's preliminary
24 25 26 27 28	approval, Defendants will post English and Spanish versions of the Notice to the Class and this Settlement Agreement in appropriate places throughout Adelanto where the Notice will be prominently visible to Class Members; (ii) Plaintiffs will send the Notice to the Class and this Settlement Agreement via first class mail to all Released Class Members at the address on file with ICE; (iii) Plaintiffs will post the Notice to the Class and this

	Case 5:20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC Document 2636-2 Filed 12/23/24 Page 17 of 24 Page ID #:182290
1	Settlement Agreement in appropriate places on the website of the ACLU of Southern California; (iv) Plaintiffs will distribute the
2	Notice to the Class and this Settlement Agreement to immigration
3	attorneys and advocates through the American Immigration
4	Lawyers' Association listserv, and other communication channels utilized by immigration attorneys and advocates in Southern
5	California. The Notice to the Class shall remain posted, and shall
6	be maintained or replaced with new copies as needed, until the Court issues an order finally approving or rejecting the
7	Settlement. The Party designated above to provide notice shall
8	bear the cost of providing that notice.
	E. A Class Member may object to the proposed Settlement by filing a written
9	objection with the Court no later than fourteen (14) days before the Final Approval Hearing.
10	
11	F. The Parties shall request that Class Members be provided at least twenty- one (21) days to submit objections to the Court after the Notice to the
12	Class is posted.
13	G. The Parties, either individually or jointly, may, but are not required to
14	respond in writing to any Objection. Any such written responses shall be
15	due the same day as the Motion for Final Approval, or as otherwise ordered by the Court.
16	
17	H. If the Settlement contemplated by this Agreement is preliminarily approved by the Court, counsel for Plaintiffs shall file a Motion for Final
18	Approval requesting that the Court enter a Final Approval Order
19	substantially in the form appended hereto as Exhibit 3.
20	I. Should the Court enter an order preliminarily approving the settlement that contains substantive provisions different from the Preliminary
21	Approval Order, attached as Exhibit 1, or an order finally approving the
~~	settlement that contains substantive provisions different from the Final

Approval Order, attached as Exhibit 1, or an order finally approving the settlement that contains substantive provisions different from the Final Approval Order, attached as Exhibit 3, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith regarding the differences and shall either accept the Court's orders as written or use their best efforts to undertake whatever efforts are necessary to obtain Court orders satisfactory to both Parties. The Parties agree that alterations to filing deadlines or hearing dates shall not be considered modifications of substantive provisions.

J. The Parties will take all necessary and appropriate steps to obtain preliminary and final approvals of the Settlement Agreement. If the Court gives final approval of this Settlement Agreement, and if there is an

VI. EFFECTIVE DATE OF SETTLEMENT

- A. The Effective Date shall be the date when all of the following shall have occurred: (a) entry of the Preliminary Approval Order; (b) approval by the Court of this Agreement, following notice to the Class and a fairness hearing, as prescribed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23; and (c) entry by the Court of the Final Approval Order.
- B. Except as otherwise provided herein, if the Agreement is terminated or modified in any material respect or fails to become effective for any reason, then none of the Agreement's terms shall be effective or enforceable; the Parties to this Agreement shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective status in the Action as of the date and time immediately prior to the Agreement Date; and except as otherwise expressly provided, the Parties shall proceed in all respects as if this Agreement and any related orders had not been entered. If the Agreement is terminated or modified in any material respect, the Parties shall be deemed not to have waived, not to have modified, or not to be estopped from asserting any additional defenses or arguments available to them.

VII. TERMINATION OF OBLIGATIONS

The obligations of this Agreement shall terminate one year after the Effective Date.

VIII. RELEASE OF CLAIMS/NO ADMISSION OF WRONGDOING

- A. Upon final approval of this Agreement by the District Court, Plaintiffs and all Class Members waive and release Defendants from liability for all claims, demands, rights, liabilities and causes of action for declaratory or equitable relief, including injunctive relief, known or unknown, that relate to risks associated with COVID-19 inside Adelanto that existed prior to the Agreement Date, and which were or could have been alleged in the Action based on the same common nucleus of operative facts alleged.
- B. Nothing in this Agreement shall have any preclusive effect on any damages claim by Plaintiffs or any Class Members or any claim by Plaintiffs or any Class Members concerning any individual challenge to the legality of their custody, now or in the future.
- C. By agreeing to this Agreement and the release contained herein, Defendants do not waive any defenses available to any Defendant or the

United States in any other pending or future action to claims that were or could have been made in the Action that arise from the same common nucleus of operative facts alleged by Plaintiffs.

D. This Agreement is not and shall not be offered as evidence of, or deemed evidence of, any admission of liability or fault on the part of Defendants, regarding any issue of law or fact, or regarding the truth or validity of any allegation or claim raised in this action.

IX. DISPUTES REGARDING REDETENTION

A. A claim by Class Counsel that Defendants are in material breach of Subsections III.A. or III.B must be raised in writing to Counsel for Defendants within seven-days of a notice of redetention under Subsection III.E. A material breach is an allegation that ICE has wholly failed to consider mitigating evidence in ICE's possession at the time of decision or has considered erroneous or inaccurate information in reaching its decision that the class member is a threat to national security, and/or public safety, as described in Section III.A. Class Counsel's disagreement with ICE/ERO's determination, after ICE has weighed all applicable factors, that a class member is a threat to public safety and/or threat to national security or poses a risk of flight is not grounds for a material breach claim. Instances of mistake or good-faith error in which, after meet-and-confer, the agency acknowledges the mistake or good-faith error and corrects it, are not material breach and may not be further challenged.

B. Counsel for Defendants agree to meet and confer with Class Counsel within five business days in response to a claim under Section IX.A.

C. In the event that the Parties agree that a Class Member was re-detained in violation of this Settlement Agreement, and the exceptions set forth under Section III.A and III.C otherwise do not apply, ICE will release the Class Member from custody. In the event that the magistrate judge presiding over a dispute between the parties finds that an individual Class Member was re-detained in violation of the Settlement Agreement, and the exceptions set forth under Section III.A and III.C otherwise do not apply, ICE will release the Class Member was re-detained in violation of the Settlement Agreement, and the exceptions set forth under Section III.A and III.C otherwise do not apply, ICE will release the Class Member from custody.

D. Counsel for Defendants agree to meet and confer with Class Counsel within three business days in response to a claim by Plaintiffs that Defendants are in material breach of Subsections III.A or III.B of this agreement (pertaining to re-detention of any individual released Class members). If the Parties are unable to resolve the dispute within two business days of the date the Parties meet and confer, the Parties agree

that they will present the dispute to the assigned magistrate for resolution as follows:

- i. Counsel for Plaintiffs shall provide Counsel for Defendants with a brief of not longer than five pages, and any supporting evidence, within five business days. Counsel for Defendants shall have five business days to submit a response. Counsel for Plaintiffs shall file a reply, if any, within two business days. The Parties will then jointly file a request for expedited resolution with the assigned magistrate containing Plaintiffs' brief, Defendants' response, Plaintiffs' reply, and any supporting evidence from either party.
- ii. In deciding whether a violation of Section III of the Settlement Agreement took place, the individual bears the burden of demonstrating, by preponderance of the evidence, that he or she was re-detained in violation of Section III of the Settlement Agreement.
- iii. The Parties will request that the magistrate judge hold a hearing concerning the dispute before issuing an order. In determining whether a breach occurred, the magistrate may determine whether one or more of the conditions in Subsection III.A or III.B is met and, where applicable, that ICE/ERO conducted an analysis as to whether the class member is a threat to national security and/or public safety, as described in Section III.A. If Class Counsel allege that information in ICE's possession at the time of decision was not wholly considered, erroneous, and/or inaccurate and ICE did not agree to consider the non-considered and/or corrected information after Plaintiffs raised the allegation in compliance with Section IX.A., the magistrate's analysis will include consideration of whether ICE has wholly failed to consider mitigating evidence in ICE's possession at the time of decision or has considered erroneous or inaccurate information in reaching its decision that the class member is a threat to national security, and/or public safety, whether such evidence was significant enough to potentially alter the final decision, and whether ICE took corrective action if necessary. The magistrate may not make a de novo determination as to whether the class member is an enforcement priority threat to national security, public safety, and/or border security as determined by ERO under applicable guidance.
 - iv. In the event that the magistrate judge presiding over a dispute between the Parties finds that an individual Class Member was redetained in violation of the Settlement Agreement, the magistrate

	Case 5	:20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC Document 2636-2 Filed 12/23/24 Page 21 of 24 Page ID #:182294
1 2 3	X.	judge's order concerning the dispute shall be binding on the Parties and ICE will release the individual from custody, subject to appeal, insofar as the exceptions set forth under Section III.A and III.C do not apply. ENFORCEMENT
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α.	 A. The District Court shall have continuing jurisdiction to enforce the Settlement Agreement's terms, subject to the limitations in Section IX, and this Section X of the Settlement Agreement. The Court shall have jurisdiction to enforce material breaches of Sections II and IX of this Settlement Agreement against ICE only on behalf of an individual Class Member, subject to appeal. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as granting the Court authority to enjoin or restrain the operation of the provisions of Chapter 4 of Part II of the Immigration and Nationality Act, other than with respect to the application of such provisions to an individual class member. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as a waiver by the federal government of any rights to assert the limitations on judicial relief set forth in 8 U.S.C. § 1252(f).
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 		B. <u>Dispute Resolution</u> . Individual class members, via class counsel, and Defendants, will endeavor, in good faith, to resolve informally any differences regarding interpretation and compliance. If informal resolution fails, and the parties seek the Court's enforcement, the Court may order mediation or impose any remedy authorized by law or equity, subject to the limitations set forth in this Section X of the Settlement Agreement. If any of the Parties believe that another Party is not in substantial compliance, that is, is in substantial non-compliance, with any provision of this Settlement Agreement—excluding those in Section IX concerning redetention of released Class Members—that Party shall, through its counsel, provide the allegedly non-compliant Party, in writing, notice of the specific reasons why it believes that they are not in substantial compliance with

business days.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

C. Limitation on Court Orders. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enter orders only after compliance with the dispute resolution procedures set forth in the preceding paragraph. The Court shall not have jurisdiction to enter an order regarding compliance with Sections II and IX of the Settlement Agreement that applies to more than one individual Class Member. The Court shall not have jurisdiction to enter orders enforcing

such provision or provisions, referencing the specific provision or

provisions. Once notified, the Party so notified shall provide a written response to any claim of alleged substantial non-compliance within three Ш

	the Settlement Agreement that would violate 8 U.S.C. § 1252(f)(1).
	D. If a Party fails to adhere to any determination by the magistrate judge under Section IX, the aggrieved Party may file a motion for contempt or
	other sanctions with the District Court judge presiding over the case.
X	. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
	A. <u>Admission of Liability</u> . This Agreement is not, is no way intended to be, and should not be construed as, an admission of liability on the part of any of the Parties. This settlement is entered into by the Parties for the purpose
	of compromising on disputed claims and avoiding the expenses and risks of further litigation, and for no other purpose.
	B. <u>Attorneys' Fees and Costs</u> . ICE shall pay Plaintiffs the amount of
	\$2,002,289 in attorney's fees. Plaintiffs also attest that they have incurred up to \$197,711 in costs taxable under 28 U.S.C. § 1920. Defendants shall
	submit Plaintiffs' claim for up to \$197,711 in taxable costs to the Department of the Treasury for payment from the Judgment Fund, subject
	to approval by the Department of the Treasury. Plaintiffs will provide any
	documents or information requested by the Department of the Treasury to support their claim for taxable costs. Plaintiffs agree to accept Defendants'
	payment of \$2,200,000 as full and complete satisfaction of Plaintiffs' claims for attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses, inclusive of any
	interest. Within five business days of the Effective Date, Class Counsel
	will provide Defendants' Counsel with the necessary information for the transfer of these funds.
	1. Plaintiffs represent that they have no existing debts to the
	United States and that they are not subject to an offset under <i>Astrue v. Ratliff</i> , 560 U.S. 586 (2010).
	 Plaintiffs represent that their claims for attorney's fees,
	litigation costs, and other expenses have been assigned to their
	counsel, and ICE accepts the assignment and waives any applicable provisions of the Anti-Assignment Act, 31 U.S.C.
	§ 3727.
	3. Subject to the foregoing provision, Federal Defendants shall
	deliver the Attorneys' Fee Settlement Amount to Plaintiffs' Counsel by electronic funds transfer into Plaintiffs' Counsel's
	designated account. Plaintiffs and their Counsel acknowledge that payment of the Attorneys' Fee Settlement Amount by
	Federal Defendants in accordance with the wire instructions
	20

	Case 5:20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC Document 2636-2 Filed 12/23/24 Page 23 of 24 Page ID #:182296		
1	shall resolve both Defendants' entire liability risk for such amount.		
2	4. This Settlement Agreement does not waive Plaintiffs' or their		
3 4	attorneys' tax liability or any other liability owed to the United States government.		
5	5. Plaintiffs' Counsel is responsible fully for the allocation of		
6	and payment of the Attorneys' Fee Settlement Amount among themselves.		
7	C. Entire Agreement. This Agreement and the Vargas Settlement Agreement		
8	contain the entire agreement between the Parties and constitute the		
9	complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement with respect to the Action. This Agreement is executed without reliance on any promise, representation, or warranty by any Party or any Party's representative other than those expressly set forth in this Agreement and the Vargas Settlement Agreement.		
10			
11			
12	D. Modifications and Amendments. No amendment, change, or modification		
13	to this Agreement shall be valid unless in writing signed by the Parties or their counsel.		
14	E. <u>Governing Law.</u> This Agreement is governed by federal law and must be		
15 16	interpreted under federal law and without regard to conflict of laws principles.		
17	F. Further Assurances. The Parties shall execute and deliver any additional		
18	papers, documents, and other assurances, and must do any other acts		
19	reasonably necessary, to perform their obligations under this Agreement and to carry out this Agreement's expressed intent.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
26 27			
27 28			
20			
	21		

	Case 5:20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC	Document 2636-2 Filed 12/23/24 Page 24 of 24 Page ID #:182297
1	Dated: December 23, 2024	
		BRIAN M. BOYNTON
2	<u>/s/ Eva L. Bitran</u> EVA BITRAN	Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice
3	ACLU Foundation of	Civil Division
4	Southern California	
5	1313 West 8th Street	AUGUST E. FLENTJE
6	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 977-9500	Deputy Director Office of Immigration Litigation
7	EBitran@aclusocal.org	General Litigation and Appeals Section
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	J. MAX WEINTRAUB
9		Acting Assistant Director
10		/s/ Hans H. Chen
11		HANS H. CHEN
12		Senior Litigation Counsel Office of Immigration Litigation
13		General Litigation & Appeals Section
14		P.O. Box 878, Ben Franklin Station
		Washington, DC 20044 Telephone: (202) 305-0190
15		Facsimile: (202) 305-7000
16		Hans.h.chen@usdoj.gov
17		Attorneys for Defendants
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		22