# Los Angeles Unified School District 2019-2020 School Innovation Funds Plan

(2017-2019 School Innovation Funds Plan)

#### **Program Budget Code:**

10359 (School Innovation Funds)

Name of School	Local District	Principal
	O a matural	ALM
John H. Liechty Middle	Central	Al Vega

Total Student Enrollment	% of Low-Income Students	% of English Learner Students	% of Foster Youth Students	Total Annual Amount of School Innovation
(Duplication Count)				Funds Allocated to the School
968	96.07%	32.76%	<b>1</b> %	Charlestones — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
				Total \$ 1,391,301.00

Directions: Briefly describe, if applicable, the services being provided that are aligned to the District's LCAP goals and indicate the amount of School Innovation Funds that support those services. Specify the targeted subgroup(s) as low-income students, English learners, RFEPs, and/or foster youth. NOTE: A minimum allocation of School Innovation Funds must be used for professional development activities in English language arts and mathematics, and at a minimum School Innovation funds must be used for improving school climate utilizing a required staffing level. Please see the SIF Planning and Guidance document to import appropriate staffing levels into the plan.

To create additional rows, click outside a row on the right side then press enter.

To create additional rows, click outside a row on the rig Description of Services that address:  100% Graduation  Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and foster youth:  - Graduation rate - Percentage of high school students on-track for A-G with a "C" - Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
As an Innovation School, we will engage in Local District Central- coordinated Equity Studies that will serve as Needs Assessments to ensure that targeted resources are strategically aligned to the correct areas in order maximize tangible and measurable results for students. The studies will help leaders understand current Conditions of Learning, Achievement and Engagement, in order to craft an Action Plan designed to maximize outcomes.  • Completion of a site-specific Equity Study, a baseline study to explain the systems and conditions that are producing current student outcomes, particularly for most vulnerable students, with recommendations for an Action Plan.  • Completion of an Innovation Schools Cluster Equity Study that accurately represent themes across the schools as a group, identifying the Local District and Large District systems and conditions that play a key role, positively or otherwise, in promoting success for most vulnerable students at the Innovation Schools, as a group.  In order to ensure that the initiative fully aligns to district goals and direction, and to ensure full district support for the Innovation Schools, Check-ins will provide an opportunity to formalize a communication system between the district and PE partners.  • Sites will equitably share the cost of monthly standing Check-in sessions between the district office and PE.  To provide schools and the district with the training, support and conditions necessary for the school to fully implement the Guidance Alignment, a process whereby guidance staff and teacher leaders from each department come together five times per year to reflect on student progress on key On Track metrics for College and Career Readiness, by subgroup and student, including:  O Attendance	X 2017-18  X 2018-19  X 2019-20	Contract Instrl Serv: \$119,000		<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%</li> </ul>

Conduct     Grades     Common Assessment results     Course placement     Social and emotional support networks     etc  To advance the capacity of administrators and other leaders to inspire powerful teaching and learning, and impactful guidance and counseling     Ongoing PD on using data as a flashlight, not a club.  Leadership PD to help leaders refine their ability to provide feedback to staff, focused on outcomes and encouraging staff self-reflection and professional development	PD Teacher: \$ 30,000 Teacher X- Time: \$60,000 Admin X-time: \$20,000 Coord Diff, Teacher: \$1,550
	Teacher: \$1,550

Description of Services that address:  Proficiency for All  Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregatincome, English learners, RFEPs and foster youth:  Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> grade English Identity Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> grade mathematical EL reclassification rate  Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT  Decrease in long-term English learners (LTELs)	anguage arts	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low- income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District- wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> grade English language arts	22%	X 2017-18	Three CSR		Percentage of students who
Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> grade mathematics	13%	X 2018-19	Teachers: \$348,822		met or exceeded
EL reclassification rate	19.8%	X 2010-19	(\$116274		standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup>
Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT-25-16	49%	X 2019-20	each)		grade ELA:
Decrease in long-term English learners (LTELs)     Site admin. need ample time to develop their understanding of key school transformation concepts prior to presenting ideas to staff or implementing.			Instructional		46% Percentage of
			Coach: \$116,274		students who met or exceeded standards in

Participation in a research-based, data-driven Administrative Power Clinic series	Instructional	3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> grade math:
on:	Coach: \$1,862	36%
<ul> <li>Guarding against the Wallpaper Effect by tracking data</li> </ul>	\$1,002	EL
<ul> <li>Setting up an On Track monitoring system of key indicators</li> </ul>	0.5 MS	reclassification
<ul> <li>Analyzing and responding to On Track monitoring cycles using Response to</li> </ul>	College &	rate: 22%
Intervention for Systems as a way to maximize results	Career	Rate of ELs making annual
<ul> <li>Developing crystal clarity about what constitutes "desired course-level"</li> </ul>	Coach:	progress on
expectations for students	\$58,138	CELDT: 57%
Developing crystal clarity about what constitutes powerful teaching/learning		Decrease in
classroom practices expected in all rooms	IT Support	long-term
Developing an Instructional Supervision system of classroom visits/feedback that	Tech:	English
inspires teachers toward continuous improvement	\$ 60,758	learners: 17%
<ul> <li>Designing effective site PD, collaboration and staff meeting sessions</li> </ul>	General	
<ul> <li>Designing a school program/master schedule that promotes maximum growth for</li> </ul>	Supplies:	
all students, with a focus on ELs, SWDs, students in foster and transitional living situations, and any other struggling subgroups	\$70,659	
Curriculum Alignment and the Common Formative Assessment/Data	Staff Conf	
	Attend:	
Reflection/Response cycle as an achievement accelerating strategy	\$1,000	
On-site follow up after each Power Clinic to help admin reflect on the content of the		
sessions, apply them to the site, and provide support and feedback as we begin to	Software Lic	
implement.	Maint:	
Beginning Yr. 2 when the Action Plans steps begin to fully roll out, there should be a system of	\$14,500	
monitoring key indicators several times throughout the year so that that the district, schools and		
PE partners can assess the impact of those actions and make mid-course adjustments as the data		
direct. In preparation, the establishment and training of the CDT during Yr. 1 will allow time		
for all aspects of the system to be ready to activate by Yr. 2.		
The schools will equitably share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitably share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitably share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitably share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitably share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitably share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitably share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitable share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitable share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitable share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitable share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitable share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitable share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitable share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitable share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will equitable share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will expect the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will expect the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will expect the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data  The schools will expect the cost of facilitation and training of the co		
Team (district as well as site representatives from Innovation Schools) on		
developing an On Track monitoring system of key indicators for the entire cluster		
of Innovation Schools.		
To define and kick off the instructional feets for the uncoming were in a highly arresting		
To define and kick off the instructional focus for the upcoming year, in a highly engaging,		
memorable way. Teachers will gain clarity of what the site admin will look for/support as grade		
or course-level expectations, aligned to SBAC, for the next year.		
A one-week intensive Summer Institute for teachers. All ELA and math teachers  (and Alarahan and Alarahan Carana W. 1)		
(only Algebra and Algebra Support Yr 1) are encouraged to attend.		
Other teachers will be provided other PD opportunities during the summer that		

align with the Innovation initiative.		
To provide the school with the training, support and conditions necessary for classroom instruction to be aligned to the rigor of standards, engaging for students using brain-compatible instructional delivery methods, relevant to students, grounded in real world contexts, teachers will be given the opportunity to attend annual summer institutes that will set the focus for the upcoming school year.  • To provide teachers with high-impact, low prep strategies to effectively support differentiated instruction		

Percentage of students with a 96% or higher Percentage of students missing 16 days or more in a school year: 9% Percentage of all staff attending 96% or above: 78% 79%  While the focus of Yr. 1 is system-building rather than instruction (which begins Yr. 2), it is important to attend to building enthusiasm and momentum from key staff right from the beginning. Keeping staff fully informed and involved in decisions should go along way toward earning their support for the initiative and improving staff engagement.  In collaboration with site admin: Odeliver the Equity Study summary to staff Odevelop and train a site Leadership/Data Team, an	t of Targeted Related District-wide of Student SY17-18 LCAP ion Group(s): Targets Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth
abbreviation of the Admin Power Clinics to be done after Admin Clinics  o pre-game coaching to prep for Summer Institute and for tech requirements of Yr. 2 alignment system	Percentage of students missing 16 days or more in a school year: 9% Percentage of all staff attending 96% or above 78%

5

#### John H. Liechty Middle

In addition, student attendance will be targeted primarily through a		
focus on relevant and engaging instruction as well as through a		
strategy of offering high-interest experiences beginning with a		
fuller complement of electives, and to improve and diversify extra-		
curricular offerings that align with the greatest student interest.		

Description of Services that address:  Parent, Community and Student Engagement Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and foster youth:  Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey.  Percentage of schools training parents on academic initiatives (minimum 4 workshops annually)	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey  School will expand and increase parent involvement and participation, especially on the school experience survey, and parental knowledge about various topics such as A-G, IGP,ICP FAFSA, college application process	X 2017-18  X 2019-20  X 2018-19	Comm Rep X-time: \$6,000  Clerical X-time: \$10,000  Couns X-time: \$10,000  Couns Z-time: \$7,500  Assignnon Cla X-time: \$45,000  Sr. Office Tech: \$72,212		Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey: 62%     Percentage of schools training parents on academic initiatives (min. 4 workshops): 94%

Description of Services that address: School Safety Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and foster youth:  Single student suspension rate  Expulsion rate  Extent to which the school is implementing the Discipline Foundation Policy  Percentage of students who feel safe at school		School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District- wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
Suspension Rate All, EL, SED	5.56%, 1.72%, 3.84%	X 2017-18	RJ teacher: \$116,274		Suspension rate: .35%
Expulsion Rate All, EL, SED		X 2018-19	PSW:		<ul><li>Expulsion rate: .01%</li><li>Extent to which the</li></ul>
% students who feel safe 61% at school			\$121,527		school is implementing the
by providing a Restorative Justi	cio-emotional learning needs of student ice advisor and other supports. Supporting or Plan will also be a goal with a focus on	X 2019-20	Psychologist: \$72,726		Discipline Foundation Policy: 88% Percentage of students who feel safe at school: 80%

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Three CSR Teachers, Elementary  Rationale: To increase variety of course offerings and reduce the amount of students per classroom.	X 100% Graduation  X Proficiency For All  100% Attendance  Parent/Community Engagement  School Safety	2020 Allocation pages 4-5 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$45,362 (increased to \$111,682 from carryover)	X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	V The state of the	ES			No

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal Identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Instructional Coach, Secondary  Rationale: To support faculty and staff so that they use effective instructional strategies that reflect the California Standards	X 100% Graduation     X Proficiency For All     100% Attendance     Parent/Community     Engagement     School Safety	2020 Allocation pages 4-5 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$116,274.00	X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students on- track for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	Taglika managan kanaga Y	ES	1		NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
One AP, B-Basis  Rationale: Ensure that an administrator with expertise in Health and Human Services to ensure that services are rendered to students referred for specific needs.	× 100% Graduation     × Proficiency For All     100% Attendance     Parent/Community     Engagement     School Safety	2020 Allocation pages 4-5 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$ 159,275.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students on- track for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	THE TABLE	ES			NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation n Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
O.5 MS College and Career Coach  Rationale: To support the academic needs of students so they culminate from middle school	x   100% Graduation	2020 Allocation pages 4-5 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$ 58,138.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:		<b>ES</b>			NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
RJ Teacher  Rationale: to help students and staff develop restorative justice strategies so that they use non-punitive strategies in dealing with themselves and each other.	X	2020 Allocation pages 4-5 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$116,274.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students on- track for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%         <ul> <li>Decrease in long-term EL: 17%</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	Y The state of the	<b>ES</b>			NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Insti Coach SEC Differential  Rationale: To enable teachers to coordinate special projects related to the innovation program	X	2020 Allocation 100% Graduation pages 2-3 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$1,862.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	Y	ES			NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Prof Dev Tchr Reg  Rationale: To provide schools and the district with the training, support and conditions necessary for the school to fully implement the Guidance Alignment, a process whereby guidance staff and teacher leaders from each department come together five times per year to reflect on student progress.	× 100% Graduation     × Proficiency For All     100% Attendance     Parent/Community     Engagement     School Safety	2020 Allocation 100% Graduation pages 2-3 See 2017- 2018 Plan	\$30,000.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	Graduation Rate: 81%     Percentage of high school students on- track for A-G with a "C": 50%     Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade ELA: 46%     Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade math: 36%     EL reclassification rate: 22%     Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%     Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%
APPROVAL:	And the Y	ES		1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal Identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Teacher X-Time  Rationale: For Summer Institute for teachers to backwards plan, review standards blueprints, create assessments, etc and for other off the clock PD and learning. To provide compensation before and after school hours.	X	2020 Allocation 100% Graduation pages 2-3 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$60,000.00	X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	Y	ES			NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Rationale: School will expand and increase parent involvement and participation, especially on the school experience survey, and parental knowledge about various topics such as A-G, IGP,ICP FAFSA, college application process.	× 100% Graduation     Proficiency For All     100% Attendance     × Parent/Community     Engagement     School Safety	2020 Allocation Prnt, Cmnty and Sdnt Eng, pp.7 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$10,000.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students on- track for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	Y	<b>:S</b>			NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Assignnon Classified X-Time	100% Graduation	2020 Allocation		X Low-income  X English Learner	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students on- track for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> </ul>
Rationale: to assist with the preparation of the new school year, get	Proficiency For All 100% Attendance	Prnt, Cmnty and Sdnt Eng, pp.6-7 See 2017-2018	\$45,000.00		<ul> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on OFLET. 570</li> </ul>
materials ready for the start of the school, help copy PD materials, etc. during non-school	× Parent/Community Engagement	Plan		X Foster Youth	
APPROVAL:	× School Safety	ES		The second secon	CELDT: 57% Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%  NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Admin X Time  Rationale: To advance the capacity of administrators and other leaders to inspire powerful teaching and learning, and provide on-going PD on using data as a flashlight to improve outcomes and self-reflection.	x   100% Graduation     x   Proficiency For All     x   100% Attendance     X   Parent/Community Engagement     School Safety	2020 Allocation 100% Graduation pages 2-3 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$20,000.00	X English Learner  X Foster Youth	Graduation Rate: 81%     Percentage of high school students on- track for A-G with a "C": 50%     Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd_8th, 11th grade ELA: 46%     Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd_8th, 11th grade math: 36%     EL reclassification rate: 22%     Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%     Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%
APPROVAL:	Y	ES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Coordinating Differential, Teacher  Rationale: To enable teachers to coordinate special projects related to the innovation program	X   100% Graduation   X   Proficiency For All	2020 Allocation 100% Graduation pages 2-3 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$1,550.00	X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:		ES	•		NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Rationale: The PSA will create and implement differentiated prevention, intervention and recovery efforts to monitor individual student attendance to reduce chronic absenteeism, and truancy among students. Deliver parent workshops.	× 100% Graduation    Proficiency For All     × 100% Attendance     × Parent/Community     Engagement     School Safety	2020 Allocation 100% Graduation pages 6 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$121,527.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	land a market of the control of the	ES			NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
ITIN Nurse  To help address health needs of students	100% Graduation   X   Proficiency For All     X   100% Attendance     Parent/Community     Engagement     X   School Safety	2020 Allocation Prof. for All: pages 4-5 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$93,019.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	Y	ES			NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
To help address the socio emotional learning needs of students	100% Graduation   x   Proficiency For Ail     x   100% Attendance     Parent/Community     Engagement     x   School Safety	2020 Allocation Prof. for All: pages 4-5 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$72,726.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey: 62%</li> <li>Percentage of schools training parents on academic initiatives (min. 4 workshops): 94%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	¥	<b>S</b> al			No

### **REQUEST 18**

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Clerical X-Time  Rationale: School will expand and increase parent involvement and participation, especially on the school experience survey, and parental knowledge about various topics such as A-G, IGP,ICP FAFSA, college application process.	× 100% Graduation    Proficiency For Ali     x   100% Attendance     x   Parent/Community     Engagement     School Safety	2020 Allocation Prnt, Cmnty and Sdnt Eng, pp.6-7 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$10,000.00	X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	<b>1</b>	8			NO

15

Page 293 of 477

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Clerical Z-Time  Rationale: School will expand and increase parent involvement and participation, especially on the school experience survey, and parental knowledge about various topics such as A-G, IGP,ICP FAFSA, college application process.	x 100% Graduation     Proficiency For All     x 100% Attendance     Parent/Community     Engagement     School Safety	2020 Allocation Prnt, Cmnty and Sdnt Eng, pp.6-7 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$7,500	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	Graduation Rate: 81% Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50% Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46% Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> grade math: 36% EL reclassification rate: 22% Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57% Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%
APPROVAL:	Y	ES			NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Rationale: School will expand and increase parent involvement and participation, especially on the school experience survey, and parental knowledge about various topics such as A-G, IGP,ICP FAFSA, college application process and the Comm Rep will support all parents during parent workshops and informational meetings after their assignment.	x   100% Graduation      Proficiency For All      x   100% Attendance      X   Parent/Community Engagement      School Safety	2020 Allocation Prnt, Cmnty and Sdnt Eng, pp.6-7 See 2017- 2018 Plan	\$6,000.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	Graduation Rate: 81% Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50% Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade ELA: 46% Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade math: 36% EL reclassification rate: 22% Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57% Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%
APPROVAL:	Y	ES TO A CO			NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
General Supplies  Rationale: To support tier 1-3 instructional program and PD with needed supplies	X   100% Graduation     X   Proficiency For All	2020 Allocation Prof. for All: pages 4-5 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$70,659.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students on-track for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	Englisher (1995) Service (1985) And Administration	<b>ES</b> Milles consistent of this	•	ribitions of all the	NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Contracted				X Low-income	Graduation Rate: 81%
Rationale: Augment support with contracted	X 100% Graduation  X Proficiency For All	2020 Allocation Prof. for All: pages 4-5		X English Learner	<ul> <li>Percentage of high school students on- track for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of additional control of the product of</li></ul>
services to improve instruction. Pay for Orenda (Principal's Exchange).	Parent/Community Engagement  School Safety	See 2017-2018 Plan	\$119,000.00	X Foster Youth	students who met or exceeded standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%  Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%  EL reclassification rate: 22%  Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%  Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%
APPROVAL:		ES	<u> </u>		NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Staff Conference Attendance  Rationale: To provide opportunities to staff to attend to receive most current professional development on research-based, strategies, and content knowledge to strengthen pedagogy and instruction delivery.	x	2020 Allocation Prof. for All: pages 4-5 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$1,000.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students on- track for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	rest stant of Y	ES			NO

Description of Services/Action	Applicable Goal identified in 2-year SIF Plan	Current Plan Reference	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY18-19 LCAP Targets for selected Goal
Rationale: To provide and maintain the software ELA and Math Department use as part of the Orenda (Principals' Exchange) assessments.	x   100% Graduation     x   Proficiency For All     x   100% Attendance     x   Parent/Community Engagement       School Safety	2020 Allocation Prof. for All: pages 4-5 See 2017-2018 Plan	\$14,500.00	X Low-income  X English Learner  X Foster Youth	<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade ELA: 46%</li> <li>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> grade math: 36%</li> <li>EL reclassification rate: 22%</li> <li>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57%</li> <li>Decrease in long-term English learners: 17%</li> </ul>
APPROVAL:	Y	ES			NO

I certify the accuracy of the foregoing information. I also certify that the requests submitted to utilize 2017-19 School Innovation Funds Plan continue to incorporate the minimum requirement of funding to be used for professional development in English language arts and mathematics and at a minimum, fulfills the requirements for school climate personnel per the School Innovation Funds guidance, and that the proposed repurposing/reallocation is based on the review of available information regarding student achievement and a particularized assessment of school needs.

	Adalberto Vega	Alland)	4/01/2019
	Typed name of School Principal	Signature of School Principal	Date
and agreed to Funds as mar	support and provide feedback for implementation.	proposed reallocation of funds under School Innovation Funds Pla The Superintendent or Designee approves the reallocation and exess particularized needs at the subject school pursuant to consultate achievement.	xpenditure of SIF
Typed name	Roberto A. Martinez	the same	4-5-19